

## REGULAR DRAINAGE MEETING

Wednesday, April 8, 2020

Large Conference Room

This meeting was held electronically due to Covid-19 concerns.

4/8/2020 - Minutes

1. Open Meeting

Hardin County Drainage Trustee Chairperson Lance Granzow opened the meeting. Also in attendance were Trustee Renee McClellan; Landowners Steve Perry, Curt Groen; Lee Gallentine of Clapsaddle-Garber Associates (CGA); and Denise Smith, Drainage Clerk.

2. Approve Agenda

Motion by McClellan to approve the agenda. Second by Granzow. All ayes. Motion Carried.

3. Approve Minutes

Motion by McClellan to approve the minutes to Drainage District 143 Hearing dated 03-25-20. Second by Granzow. All ayes. Motion carried.

4. Approve Claims For Payment

Motion by McClellan to approve payments with a pay date of Friday, April 10, 2020. Second by Granzow. All ayes. Motion carried.

DD 22 WO 176 & 192 - Prof Svc After 2-22-20 to 3-21-20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$ 635.40
DD 1 WO 244 - Prof. Svs After 1/31/20 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$7,839.30
DD 160 WO 283 - Prof Svc After 2/28/20 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$ 702.80
DD 131 WO 275 - Prof. Svc After 1/31/20 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$1,499.25
DD 9 WO 229 - Prof Svcs After 2/28/20 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$1,098.30
DD 25 WO 1 - Prof Svcs After 2/28/20 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$2,135.80
DD 31 WO 278 - Prof Svc After 1/31/20 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$2,545.80
DD 48 WO 274 - Prof Svc After 1/31/20 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$3,613.05
DD 55-3 WO 251 - Prof Svc After 8/23/19 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$ 217.70
DD 55-3 WO 201- Prof Svc Afr 1/31/20 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$ 451.45
DD 86 WO 252 - Prof Svcs After 2/2/20 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$4,836.30
DD 124 WO 259 - Prof Svcs After 8/23/19 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$1,478.70
DD 143 WO 241 - Prof Svcs 1/31/20 -3/27/20 Surv. Rpt	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$ 487.80
DD 143 WO 241 - Prof Svc 1/31/20 to 3/27/20 Sup Rpt	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$ 487.80
DD 143 WO 261 - Prof Svcs 8/7/19 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$ 643.60
DD 167 WO 208 - Prof Svcs After 4/26/19 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$4,827.55
DD Big 4 Main WO 247 - Prof Svcs 5/31/19 to 3/27/20	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	\$ 514.00

5. DD 102 - Discuss W Possible Action - Wetland Project #HAR862018C Rock Alternative Bid Feedback

DD 102 - Smith had sent postcards out to the landowners in DD 102 requesting feedback on the rock bedding alternative for the #HAR862018C Nutrient Reduction Wetland Project. The postcards gave landowners two options, they could choose; yes- I select the rock bedding alternative or no, I do not choose the rock bedding alternative. Smith sent out 23 postcards, and received 13 postcards back that selected the yes option, Steve Perry spoke with Smith earlier that day on the phone and said he was a yes also, but had some comments for the Trustees, and Smith received 2 no replies. All together we had 15 cards returned and one phone call from Steve Perry.

Steve Perry stated his only concern was how this would be billed, would this be billed on pre-reclassification based on current assessments or on post re-classification. Perry stated he hoped it may

cost him less, after it is all said and done, but is not 100% sure. It is unfortunate that the low bid on the entire project had the highest cost on the rock bedding alternative. Perry asked how much rock bedding they were including in that and how far up the pipe they are planning on going with the bedding, and would it be any more than a couple inches of bedding if at all. Gallentine stated the rock bedding has different classes, the minimal class of bedding has just a few inches up the pipe or the other class would include rock halfway up the pipe, it depends on what soil type you have and if you are in a street, you will need more protection than if you are in an ag ground. Perry stated most of the pipe will be in the ditch or off to the side of the ditch, where it will see minimal point load traffic, and he is questioning how far up the pipe we need to go and if we can save some money on this, as \$28,000 is a lot of money for a couple inches of rock on a 30" concrete pipe.

Granzow stated regarding the classification, that he thought we were going to reclassify this then assess, Perry knew a reclassification was in order, and logically it should be based on a reclassification basis and who knows how that reclass will shake out, but hopes that he may come out better than he currently stands. Perry stated at \$28,500 he would be looking at \$7,000 - \$8,000 assessed just for his portion. Granzow stated the main would be gone, and we would have to make a new main and there will be a small lateral that drops into, but remembered we had to reclassify this as part of that project, and we can review the minutes to determine what was decided at that time. Perry asked what the time line looks like for the rock bedding as construction does not begin until June 1st, and wanted to know when the Trustees needed final approval for the rock bedding. Gallentine did not recall seeing a timeline, and Gallentine reviewed the plans provided to him by Mike Bourland, which were made before the rock bedding alternative was presented, so Gallentine stated he does not know how much rock depth was specified. It was discussed that although the rock bedding was not specified by the engineer, it is insurance on this project against future issues.

Granzow stated we should hold off on a decision until we know the depth of the rock bedding, and we should review the minutes for the decision on whether this assessment would be based on the current classification or the reclassification. Granzow requested Gallentine provide more info on the rock bedding depth for next week's meeting.

Motion by McClellan to table the discussion on DD 102 Wetland Project Rock Bedding Alternative until next week's Regula Drainage Meeting. Second by Granzow. All ayes. Motion carried.

Smith will add this to the agenda for next week's regular drainage meeting.

6. DD 56 & DD 168 -WO 2020-6 - Discuss W Possible Action - Midland Drainage Utility Permit Application

DD 56 & DD 168 - WO 2020-6, Midland Power Coop has submitted a Drainage Utility Permit Application for a 3 mile overhead line rebuild in Sherman Township in Sections 08, 17 and 19. It does come near some district tile, Gallentine stated Midland has been good to work with.

Motion by McClellan to approve Midland Power Coop Drainage Utility Permit Application 2020-6 in DD 56 & DD 168. Second by Granzow. All ayes. Motion carried.

7. DD H-H 4-122 & DD 55-2 - WO 2020-7- Discuss W Possible Action - Midland Drainage Utility Permit Application

DD H-H 4-122 & DD 55-2 WO 2020-7 Midland Power Coop has submitted a Drainage Utility Permit Application for a one mile overhead line rebuild in Sherman Township, Section 19, in H-H 4-122 & DD 55-2. Smith stated H-H 4-122 is a joint district with Hardin County, and asked if Hamilton County needed to approve this Permit also, Hardin is the control County on this one. Granzow stated the only County that required joint approval if we were the control County was Story County, but it would be great to share with them.

Motion by McClellan to approve Midland Power Coop Drainage Utility Permit Application 2020-7 in DD H-H

4-122 & DD 55-2, with the note that Smith will forward this on to Hamilton County. Second by Granzow. All ayes. Motion carried.

Granzow stated if Hamilton County would like to approve this Permit also, we would like a copy of their minutes.

8. DD H-F 2 WO 286 - Discuss W Possible Action - Franklin Co. Waiver

DD H-F 2 WO 286 - This is the request for work by Landon Aldinger, Franklin County had requested that Landon Aldinger sign a waiver stating he acknowledges that if this drainage issue is not found to be a District tile issue, that Aldinger will pay for any investigation costs himself, the Drainage District would not pay these investigation costs. Aldinger has signed this waiver, and Franklin County Trustees only meet on Mondays, Smith requested direction on how to proceed, if we need Hardin County Trustee approval along with Franklin County Trustee approval. Franklin is the control County.

Motion by McClellan that Hardin County has acknowledged this Work Order request and signed waiver and to move this Work Order to Franklin County for their approval.

Granzow stated we don't require this in our County, and although the issue lies in Hardin County, as Franklin is the control County, it should be done to their standards/rules, if Franklin County needs our approval, to please let us know. Smith will send this on to Franklin County.

9. Discuss W Possible Action - Wind Turbine Ordinance And Drainage Utility Permit Language & Process  
No feedback has been received yet from attorney Mike Richards on our previous discussions of the Wind Turbine Ordinance and Drainage Utility. Gallentine did share a copy of the Franklin County Wind Turbine agreement with Richards.

10. Other Business

11. Adjourn Meeting

Motion by McClellan to adjourn. Second by Granzow. All ayes. Motion carried.